

**Approximate time:** 15 minutes

Part 1: The Chess Story (10 minutes – slides)

Part 2: Discussion (5 minutes – whole group)

### Learning Goals

Participants in the activity will

- understand where the Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) come from
- understand that students can use the CCCs as a conceptual framework for organizing new information
- be introduced the titles of the seven CCCs

### Materials

- [Introduction to the CCCs PowerPoint](#)



### Background for Facilitators

The idea of standards including both content and practices is not necessarily new. However, the added dimension of the Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) takes more getting used to, and educators often have more questions about this dimension. Specifically they may wonder **why** it is on equal footing with the Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs) and Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs). Educators also often wonder **how** the CCCs fit in with the other two dimensions, and why they are important to students. For this reason, we recommend using this presentation to introduce the CCCs before diving into [hands-on activities](#) to develop a deeper understanding of the definitions and applications of the seven concepts.

This presentation centers around a science story that helps define the idea of a conceptual framework by comparing the ways that experts and novices organize information. The story goes like this: In a series of cognitive psychology studies, researchers looked at how chess experts and chess novices differed in the way that they organized their ideas about the game. As part of this research, chess experts and novices were shown a chess board on which pieces were randomly arranged (see the image above). Both groups were then asked to recreate the positions of the pieces from memory.

It turns out that the two groups organized the information differently. Novices tended to remember only individual pieces (rook, knight, bishop, etc.) and their position in space. Experts, however, grouped pieces together based on the strategic moves that the piece could make in the game. (See

SLIDE 8 for a visual representation of this.) The experts could then use this conceptual framework to organize and make sense of any configuration of pieces on the board. This phenomenon has been observed in other areas like physics and computer programming. In general, novices rely on surface features (e.g. isolated facts or formulas) to organize ideas, while experts develop and use a conceptual framework, sorting new knowledge using big ideas or broad categories.

We can help students think like experts by providing them with a conceptual framework around which they can build their understanding and new ideas. The CCCs as a conceptual framework aim to help students learn science and think like experts in the following ways:

1. Help students make sense of new content and tackle novel problems
2. Allow students to be more flexible and creative with their science and engineering ideas
3. Help students to develop their ideas over time

The conceptual framework of the CCCs supports students in thinking scientifically. Students don't necessarily need to be science experts—instead we want to teach them how to critically evaluate their world.

### References

- Aranda, J. (2006, August 29). Fun with representations III—Hidden in plain sight [Web log post]. Retrieved from <https://catenary.wordpress.com/2006/08/29/fun-with-representations-iii-hidden-in-plain-sight/>
- Sheridan, H. & Reingold, E.M. (2014). Expert vs. novice differences in the detection of relevant information during a chess game: evidence from eye movements. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 941. <http://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00941>

### Prepare

- Review the presentation notes in this lesson and the accompanying [slides](#). This is not intended to be a script, so adapt the discussion points to your own presentation style.

### Procedure

#### Part 1: The Chess Story (10 minutes)

<p>Understanding the NGSS is a piece of cake</p> <p><small>Adapted from NETA Image credits: Chocolate-Cream-Recipes.com, CC BY 3.0, King, CC BY-ND-SA 3.0, Public Domain, Pixabay.com, CC BY-SA 4.0</small></p> <p><small>California Academy of Sciences</small></p>	<p>SLIDES 1-4: Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If you have been using the cake analogy, return to it here to highlight that this is an introduction to a new dimension: The Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) are represented by the frosting</li> <li>• You can review this analogy in the <a href="#">Introduction to the NGSS</a> presentation.</li> </ul>
<p>Why are Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs) important?</p> <p><small>California Academy of Sciences</small></p>	<p>SLIDE 5: Why the CCCs?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Science and Engineering Practices (SEPs) and the Disciplinary Core Ideas (DCIs) are similar to how we have thought of science education in the past: content along with investigation and experimentation.</li> <li>• The CCCs as a third dimension on equal footing with the SEPs and DCIs may seem very new.</li> <li>• This presentation is meant to give some background about the inclusion and importance of the CCCs, and hopefully get you excited about bring them into your classroom.</li> </ul>
<p>Experts and novices organize their ideas differently</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Experts use a <b>conceptual framework</b></li> <li>» Novices rely on <b>surface features</b></li> </ul> <p><small>National Research Council. How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School. Second Edition. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2000. doi:10.17226/9853</small></p> <p><small>California Academy of Sciences</small></p>	<p>SLIDE 6: Experts vs. Novices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Research in cognitive psychology makes a key distinction between the ways that experts and novices organize their ideas.</li> <li>• <i>Read slide</i></li> </ul>

Chess experts and novices players were shown pieces randomly arranged on a chess board...



Image credit: Aranda, J. (2006, August 29). Fun with representations II - Helen in pain again. Retrieved May 23, 2016, from <https://olabery.wordpress.com/2006/08/29/fun-with-representations-ii-helen-in-pain-again/>

National Research Council. (2000). How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2000. doi:10.17226/9853.



### SLIDE 7: The Experiment

- In one experiment, two groups of chess players were shown pieces randomly arranged on a chess board.
  - One group of players were novices in the game.
  - The other group of players were experts.
- The players were asked to recreate the arrangement of pieces on the board from memory.
- *Ask the participants:* What do you think happened? How do you think these two groups solved the problem differently?
- *Allow participants to share ideas.*

Experts grouped pieces together based on the **strategic moves** that the pieces could make in a game.



- Pieces in initial position
- Castled king with advanced pawns
- Guarding knights
- Standard castle structure
- Attacking queens

Novices only remembered **individual pieces**.



- Rooks
- Knights
- Bishops
- Pawns
- Queens
- Kings

Image credit: Aranda, J. (2006, August 29). Fun with representations II - Helen in pain again. Retrieved May 23, 2016, from <https://olabery.wordpress.com/2006/08/29/fun-with-representations-ii-helen-in-pain-again/>

National Research Council. (2000). How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2000. doi:10.17226/9853.



### SLIDE 8: The Results

- The research revealed clear differences in how these two groups organized information about the chess pieces.
- Novices remembered the locations of individual pieces
- Experts grouped pieces based on the strategic moves that the pieces could make (*Draw participants' attention to the color key that describes one way of organizing this information*).
- Similar results have been found in other fields—besides the game of chess—including computer programming and physics.

One goal of science education is to teach students think more like experts

- » What if we gave students an expert-like conceptual framework to organize their ideas around?



California Academy of Sciences

SLIDE 9: Connecting to Science Education

- We can help students to learn to think like experts by providing them with a conceptual framework around which to organize their own ideas and understanding.

How will the CCCs help students learn science?

1. A conceptual framework helps students make sense of new content and tackle novel problems
2. Allows students to be more flexible and creative with their science and engineering ideas
3. Helps students to develop their ideas over time

A Framework for K-12 Education  
Grade 2015

California Academy of Sciences

SLIDE 10: Science Learning

- Specifically, the CCCs as a conceptual framework will help students learn science in these ways.
- *Read the three listed ways. Pause for questions before moving on.*

There are 7 Crosscutting Concepts (CCCs)

1. Patterns
2. Cause and effect
3. Scale, proportion, and quantity
4. Systems and system models
5. Energy and matter
6. Structure and function
7. Stability and change

NGSS Lead States. 2015. Next Generation Science Standards for Education. Appendix D: Crosscutting Concepts. © 2015 Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

California Academy of Sciences

SLIDE 11: What are the 7 CCCs?

- *Leave this slide up for the duration of the short concluding discussion.*

### Part 2: Discussion (5 minutes)

The goal of this short wrap-up discussion is to share first impressions of the list of the 7 CCCs now that participants are aware of the rationale behind them.

1. Tell participants that the 7 titles listed are the 7 Crosscutting Concepts that define the framework in the NGSS.
2. Give participants a moment to read through the 7 titles, to notice, and to wonder.

- **What are your first impressions? What do you notice and what do you wonder?**
- **Are these concepts truly “crosscutting” across science subjects?**
  - *This can be a time for participants to simply share their impressions, keeping in mind that they will have a chance to dive more deeply into the thinking behind each of these 7 concepts by reading Appendix G of the NGSS, and participating in more activities exploring this dimension of the standards.*
- **Do you see connections that could be made to other subjects, outside of science?**
  - *Participants often share that some of the CCCs seem very strictly science, with little connection to other disciplines (e.g. Energy and Matter), while others (e.g. Patterns, Cause and Effect) have obvious overlap with Language Arts, Math, or other disciplines.*

### Key Messages

*Make sure participants leave the discussion with these ideas:*

- Experts and novices organize information in very different ways.
- The 7 CCCs form a conceptual framework that supports students in organize new information for understanding.

### Next steps

- We recommend becoming more familiar with the scope of each of the 7 CCCs by doing the two sets of hands-on CCCs activities: [Speed Dating and Station Rotation](#) and [Vertical Alignment and Lenses](#).